

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,422	09/682,422 08/31/2001		John Joseph DiEnno	9D-DW-19893	7785	
23465	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. B			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE			
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3637		
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2009	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/682,422	DIENNO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James O. Hansen	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 D</u>	ecember 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1.2 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,6 and 10-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/682,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 7, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 & 7-9 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobos et al. Kobos (figures 1-6) teaches of an escutcheon (fig. 4) for an appliance door assembly as structurally depicted in previously submitted Exhibit A [claims 1-2] and Exhibit B [claims 7-9].

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Pending further review and consideration [see below], Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,422 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed December 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner would like to reiterate that some clarification is still in order to definitively distinguish aspects of the "retaining slot" as set forth in claim 1. As noted on page 9 of the specification - see [0031] to [0033], the slot is identified as reference no. 232 and defined in relation to the "lead-in flange 230 and lip 228". The slot is later defined in relation to "rib 212 and escutcheon lip". As such, the recitation "a plurality of formations depending from the lower edge and defining a retaining slot" [claim 1] is interpreted in view of the flange and lip structures. It is noted that the flange may be reinforced via the ribs, but the "slot" is not necessarily defined solely by the "ribs" in question. As such, it is deemed that the "formations" must either constitute the flange and lip or the combination of the flange, ribs and lip. The examiner has taken the position that the combination of the flange, ribs and lip define the "formations" [wherein the "formations" comprise positioning ribs - however, it is not clear if the ribs constitute a portion of the formations [as interpreted by the examiner] or are the formations]. Clarification concerning applicant's intent is requested in view of the potentially allowable subject matter.
- 6. The examiner has taken a reasonably broad interpretation of the limitation "escutcheon" as defining a protective plate [Webster's II New riverside University Dictionary 1994]. The "plate" of the cited art being analogous to applicant's "plate" in the sense that they both are three-dimensional structures [not just planar members]. Accordingly, the position is taken that the submitted exhibits sufficiently encompass the broadly claimed features as set forth. In regards to applicant's remarks that the "mounting surface" does not extend "upwardly and inwardly from a lower edge and

Application/Control Number: 09/682,422

Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

between front and rear edges of the escutcheon" note the following: The position is taken that Exhibit A adequately depicts the mounting surface as having upwardly and inwardly extending elements [in the sense that these qualitative features are dependent upon a viewed orientation that may vary] and elements between [in the sense that these elements are located within a vertical boundary] front and rear edges of the escutcheon. As to applicant's remarks that the cited reference does not show "formations", as described, depending from a lower edge, note the following: A reference to the first paragraph in this section is in order since the recitation involving the "formations" limitation is so broad that one can reasonably define numerous structural elements to meet the claim; additionally, it is viewed that Exhibit B adequately depicts "formations" each having a "guide <u>surface</u>" formed at an acute angle with the lower edge, the guide surfaces [each formation having one surface] defining the slot as clearly represented and a panel engagement portion.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,422 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

JOH February 3, 2005